

# Borel Functions and Separability of Metric Spaces

Delta 8 Logic Workshop (Zhejiang University)

Kai Gu

School of Mathematical Sciences  
Nankai University

24 March 2018

# Outline

1 Introduction

2 Main results

## Question

## Theorem (S. Simpson)

*Let  $X$  be an analytic set,  $Y$  a metric space, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*

**Question:** If  $X$  is only a separable metric space, is  $f(X)$  separable?

We prove that this problem is independent of ZFC.

## Question

## Theorem (S. Simpson)

*Let  $X$  be an analytic set,  $Y$  a metric space, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*

**Question:** If  $X$  is only a separable metric space, is  $f(X)$  separable?

We prove that this problem is independent of ZFC.

## Question

## Theorem (S. Simpson)

*Let  $X$  be an analytic set,  $Y$  a metric space, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*

**Question:** If  $X$  is only a separable metric space, is  $f(X)$  separable?

We prove that this problem is independent of ZFC.

# Borel sets

Let  $X$  be a metric space.

## Definition

$\mathbf{B}(X)$ : *Borel sets* of  $X$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open sets of  $X$ .

## Borel hierarchy

$$\Sigma_1^0 = \text{open}, \quad \Pi_1^0 = \text{closed};$$

For  $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$ ,

$$\Sigma_\alpha^0 = \left\{ \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n : A_n \in \Pi_{\alpha_n}^0, \alpha_n < \alpha \right\};$$

$$\Pi_\alpha^0 = \{X \setminus A : A \in \Sigma_\alpha^0(X)\}$$

$$\Delta_\alpha^0 = \Sigma_\alpha^0 \cap \Pi_\alpha^0.$$

$$\mathbf{B}(X) = \bigcup_{1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1} \Sigma_\alpha^0(X) = \bigcup_{1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1} \Pi_\alpha^0(X).$$

# Borel functions

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function.

## Definition

*Borel function:*  $f^{-1}(U)$  is Borel set in  $X$  for any  $U$  open in  $Y$ .



# Polish spaces

## Definition

*Polish space*: a separable, completely metrizable topological space.

## Example

- ①  $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ ;
- ② countable discrete spaces;
- ③ products of countable many Polish spaces:
  - (a) Hilbert cube  $\mathbb{I}^\omega$ ,
  - (b) Cantor space  $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^\omega$ ,
  - (c) Baire space  $\mathcal{N} = \omega^\omega$ .

# Polish spaces

## Definition

*Polish space*: a separable, completely metrizable topological space.

## Example

- 1  $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ ;
- 2 countable discrete spaces;
- 3 products of countable many Polish spaces:
  - (a) Hilbert cube  $\mathbb{I}^\omega$ ,
  - (b) Cantor space  $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^\omega$ ,
  - (c) Baire space  $\mathcal{N} = \omega^\omega$ .

# Analytic sets

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a Polish space. A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is *analytic* (or  $\Sigma_1^1$ ) if there is a closed subset  $C \subseteq X \times \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$x \in A \iff \exists y \in \mathcal{N}((x, y) \in C).$$

A subset  $B \subseteq X$  is *co-analytic* (or  $\Pi_1^1$ ) if  $X \setminus B$  is analytic.

## Theorem (Suslin)

Let  $X$  be a Polish space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is Borel iff both  $A$  and  $X \setminus A$  are analytic.

# Analytic sets

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a Polish space. A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is *analytic* (or  $\Sigma_1^1$ ) if there is a closed subset  $C \subseteq X \times \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$x \in A \iff \exists y \in \mathcal{N}((x, y) \in C).$$

A subset  $B \subseteq X$  is *co-analytic* (or  $\Pi_1^1$ ) if  $X \setminus B$  is analytic.

## Theorem (Suslin)

Let  $X$  be a Polish space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is Borel iff both  $A$  and  $X \setminus A$  are analytic.

# Projective sets

Let  $X$  be a Polish space. We have already defined the  $\Sigma_1^1$  (analytic),  $\Pi_1^1$  (co-analytic) sets.

$$\Sigma_{n+1}^1 = \{\text{proj}_X(A) : X \text{ Polish}, A \subseteq X \times \mathcal{N}, A \in \Pi_n^1(X \times \mathcal{N})\}$$

$$\Pi_{n+1}^1 = \{X \setminus A : X \text{ Polish}, A \in \Sigma_{n+1}^1(X)\}$$

$$\Delta_n^1 = \Sigma_n^1 \cap \Pi_n^1$$

$$\mathbf{P}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Sigma_n^1(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Pi_n^1(X)$$

# Determinacy

With each subset  $A$  of  $\omega^\omega$  we associate the following game  $G_A$ , played by two players I and II. First I chooses a natural number  $a_0$ , then II chooses a natural number  $b_0$ , then I chooses  $a_1$ , then II chooses  $b_1$ , and so on. The game ends after  $\omega$  steps; if the resulting sequence  $\langle a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, \dots \rangle$  is in  $A$ , then I wins, otherwise II wins.

A *strategy* (for I or II) is a rule that tells the player what move to make depending on the previous moves of both players. A strategy is a *winning strategy* if the player who follows it always wins. The game  $G_A$  is *determined* if one of the players has a winning strategy.

# Determinacy

With each subset  $A$  of  $\omega^\omega$  we associate the following game  $G_A$ , played by two players I and II. First I chooses a natural number  $a_0$ , then II chooses a natural number  $b_0$ , then I chooses  $a_1$ , then II chooses  $b_1$ , and so on. The game ends after  $\omega$  steps; if the resulting sequence  $\langle a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, \dots \rangle$  is in  $A$ , then I wins, otherwise II wins.

A *strategy* (for I or II) is a rule that tells the player what move to make depending on the previous moves of both players. A strategy is a *winning strategy* if the player who follows it always wins. The game  $G_A$  is *determined* if one of the players has a winning strategy.

# Determinacy

With each subset  $A$  of  $\omega^\omega$  we associate the following game  $G_A$ , played by two players I and II. First I chooses a natural number  $a_0$ , then II chooses a natural number  $b_0$ , then I chooses  $a_1$ , then II chooses  $b_1$ , and so on. The game ends after  $\omega$  steps; if the resulting sequence  $\langle a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, \dots \rangle$  is in  $A$ , then I wins, otherwise II wins.

A *strategy* (for I or II) is a rule that tells the player what move to make depending on the previous moves of both players. A strategy is a *winning strategy* if the player who follows it always wins. The game  $G_A$  is *determined* if one of the players has a winning strategy.



# Determinacy

Theorem (Martin, 1975)

*All Borel games are determined.*

Definition

*Projective Determinacy (PD): the game  $G_A$  is determined for every projective set  $A$ .*

Theorem (Martin)

*If Determinacy ( $\Pi_n^1$ ) then every uncountable  $\Sigma_{n+1}^1$  subset of  $\{0, 1\}^\omega$  has a perfect subset.*

# Determinacy

## Theorem (Martin, 1975)

*All Borel games are determined.*

## Definition

*Projective Determinacy (PD): the game  $G_A$  is determined for every projective set  $A$ .*

## Theorem (Martin)

*If Determinacy ( $\Pi_n^1$ ) then every uncountable  $\Sigma_{n+1}^1$  subset of  $\{0, 1\}^\omega$  has a perfect subset.*

# Determinacy

## Theorem (Martin, 1975)

*All Borel games are determined.*

## Definition

*Projective Determinacy (PD): the game  $G_A$  is determined for every projective set  $A$ .*

## Theorem (Martin)

*If Determinacy ( $\Pi_n^1$ ) then every uncountable  $\Sigma_{n+1}^1$  subset of  $\{0, 1\}^\omega$  has a perfect subset.*

# Determinacy

## Theorem (Martin, 1975)

*All Borel games are determined.*

## Definition

*Projective Determinacy (PD): the game  $G_A$  is determined for every projective set  $A$ .*

## Theorem (Martin)

*If Determinacy ( $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^1$ ) then every uncountable  $\Sigma_{n+1}^1$  subset of  $\{0, 1\}^\omega$  has a perfect subset.*

# Martin's Axiom (MA)

Let  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  be a partial order. A *chain* in  $\mathbb{P}$  is a set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\forall p, q \in C (p \leq q \vee q \leq p)$ .  $p$  and  $q$  are *compatible* iff

$$\exists r \in \mathbb{P} (r \leq p \wedge r \leq q);$$

they are *incompatible* ( $p \perp q$ ) iff  $\neg \exists r \in \mathbb{P} (r \leq p \wedge r \leq q)$ . An *antichain* in  $\mathbb{P}$  is a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\forall p, q \in A (p \neq q \rightarrow p \perp q)$ .

## Definition

A partial order  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  has the *countable chain condition* (c.c.c.) iff every antichain in  $\mathbb{P}$  is countable.

# Martin's Axiom (MA)

Let  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  be a partial order. A *chain* in  $\mathbb{P}$  is a set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\forall p, q \in C (p \leq q \vee q \leq p)$ .  $p$  and  $q$  are *compatible* iff

$$\exists r \in \mathbb{P} (r \leq p \wedge r \leq q);$$

they are *incompatible* ( $p \perp q$ ) iff  $\neg \exists r \in \mathbb{P} (r \leq p \wedge r \leq q)$ . An *antichain* in  $\mathbb{P}$  is a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  such that  $\forall p, q \in A (p \neq q \rightarrow p \perp q)$ .

## Definition

A partial order  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  has the *countable chain condition* (c.c.c.) iff every antichain in  $\mathbb{P}$  is countable.

## Martin's Axiom (MA)

## Definition

Let  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  be a partial order.  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is *dense* in  $\mathbb{P}$  iff  $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \exists q \leq p (q \in D)$ .  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is a *filter* in  $\mathbb{P}$  iff

- (a)  $\forall p, q \in G \exists r \in G (r \leq p \wedge r \leq q)$ , and
- (b)  $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \forall q \in G (q \leq p \rightarrow p \in G)$ .

$MA(\kappa)$  is the statement: Whenever  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  is a non-empty c.c.c. partial order, and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a family of  $\leq \kappa$  dense subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$ , then there is a filter  $G$  in  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\forall D \in \mathcal{D} (G \cap D \neq \emptyset)$ . MA is the statement  $\forall \kappa < 2^\omega (MA(\kappa))$ .

## Martin's Axiom (MA)

## Definition

Let  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  be a partial order.  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is *dense* in  $\mathbb{P}$  iff  $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \exists q \leq p (q \in D)$ .  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is a *filter* in  $\mathbb{P}$  iff

- (a)  $\forall p, q \in G \exists r \in G (r \leq p \wedge r \leq q)$ , and
- (b)  $\forall p \in \mathbb{P} \forall q \in G (q \leq p \rightarrow p \in G)$ .

$\text{MA}(\kappa)$  is the statement: Whenever  $\langle \mathbb{P}, \leq \rangle$  is a non-empty c.c.c. partial order, and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a family of  $\leq \kappa$  dense subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$ , then there is a filter  $G$  in  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\forall D \in \mathcal{D} (G \cap D \neq \emptyset)$ . MA is the statement  $\forall \kappa < 2^\omega (\text{MA}(\kappa))$ .



# Outline

1 Introduction

2 Main results

## Recall the question

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Is then  $f(X)$  separable?

# Theorems

We prove that this problem is independent of ZFC due to the following theorems:

**Theorem ( $2^{\omega_1} > 2^\omega$ )**

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*

**Theorem ( $\text{MA}(\omega_1)$ )**

*There exist metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $X$  separable, and a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is not separable. Furthermore, here  $f$  can be of Baire class 1.*

# Theorems

We prove that this problem is independent of ZFC due to the following theorems:

Theorem ( $2^{\omega_1} > 2^\omega$ )

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*

Theorem ( $\text{MA}(\omega_1)$ )

*There exist metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $X$  separable, and a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is not separable. Furthermore, here  $f$  can be of Baire class 1.*

# Theorems

We prove that this problem is independent of ZFC due to the following theorems:

## Theorem ( $2^{\omega_1} > 2^\omega$ )

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*

## Theorem ( $\text{MA}(\omega_1)$ )

*There exist metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $X$  separable, and a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is not separable. Furthermore, here  $f$  can be of Baire class 1.*

# Theorems

We prove that this problem is independent of ZFC due to the following theorems:

## Theorem ( $2^{\omega_1} > 2^\omega$ )

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*

## Theorem ( $\text{MA}(\omega_1)$ )

*There exist metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $X$  separable, and a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is not separable. Furthermore, here  $f$  can be of Baire class 1.*

## Theorems

Theorem ( $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH} + \omega_1 = \omega_1^L$ )

*There exist metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $X$  a co-analytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a Borel function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is not separable. Furthermore, here  $f$  can be of Baire class 1.*

# Theorems

## Theorem (PD)

*Let  $X$  be a projective set,  $Y$  a metric space, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a Borel function. Then  $f(X)$  is separable.*



## Proof

## Proof.

Suppose  $f(X)$  is not separable, there is a closed discrete subspace  $Z$  of  $f(X)$  with  $|Z| = \omega_1$ . Let  $W = f^{-1}(Z)$ . It is easy to see that  $W$  is a Borel set in  $X$ , so  $W$  is a projective set. Now we take a Bernstein set  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $A \subseteq B$  with  $|A| = |Z| = \omega_1$ . Then  $A$  does not contain any uncountable closed set. Let  $g$  be an one-to-one map from  $Z$  onto  $A$ . Since  $f$  is Borel and  $g$  is continuous,  $g \circ f \upharpoonright W$  is a Borel function. Since  $A = g(f(W))$  which is the image of a projective set under a Borel function,  $A$  is a projective set. Then  $A$  is an uncountable projective set containing no perfect set, this contradicts with PD.  $\square$

# Corollary

## Definition

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. The Borel function  $f$  is *bounded* if there is an ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ , such that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0$  for every open set  $U$  of  $Y$ . Otherwise we call  $f$  *unbounded*.

## Corollary ( $2^{\omega_1} > 2^\omega$ )

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Then  $f$  is bounded.

# Corollary

## Definition

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. The Borel function  $f$  is *bounded* if there is an ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ , such that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0$  for every open set  $U$  of  $Y$ . Otherwise we call  $f$  *unbounded*.

## Corollary ( $2^{\omega_1} > 2^\omega$ )

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Then  $f$  is bounded.*

# Corollary

## Definition

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. The Borel function  $f$  is *bounded* if there is an ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ , such that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma_\alpha^0$  for every open set  $U$  of  $Y$ . Otherwise we call  $f$  *unbounded*.

## Corollary ( $2^{\omega_1} > 2^\omega$ )

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with  $X$  separable, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel function. Then  $f$  is bounded.

# An open problem

An open problem which was put forward by A. H. Stone: let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a Borel function. Is  $f$  bounded?

Theorem (D. H. Fremlin, R. W. Hansell, H. J. K. Junnilla, 1983)

*Assume CH, the answer is positive; assume  $MA(\omega_1)$ , the answer is positive too.*

So far the Stone's problem is still open.

# An open problem

An open problem which was put forward by A. H. Stone: let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a Borel function. Is  $f$  bounded?

Theorem (D. H. Fremlin, R. W. Hansell, H. J. K. Junnilla, 1983)

*Assume CH, the answer is positive; assume  $MA(\omega_1)$ , the answer is positive too.*

So far the Stone's problem is still open.

# An open problem






An open problem which was put forward by A. H. Stone: let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a Borel function. Is  $f$  bounded?

Theorem (D. H. Fremlin, R. W. Hansell, H. J. K. Junnilla, 1983)

*Assume CH, the answer is positive; assume  $MA(\omega_1)$ , the answer is positive too.*





So far the Stone's problem is still open.

## References

-  K. Ciesielski, *Set Theory for the Working Mathematician*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
-  D. H. Fremlin, R. W. Hansell, H. J. K. Junnila, *Borel functions of bounded class*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277, 1983.
-  K. Gu, *Borel Functions and Separability of Metric Spaces*, Archiv der Mathematik, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-018-1169-x>, 2018.
-  A. S. Kechris, D. A. Martin, *Infinite games and effective descriptive set theory*, in: Analytic Sets (Edited by C. A. Rogers), Academic Press, London, 1980, pp. 403-470.
-  D. A. Martin, R. Solovay, *Internal Cohen extensions*, Ann. Math. Logic 2, 1970, pp. 143-178.



## References

-  A. W. Miller, *Descriptive Set Theory and Forcing: How to prove theorems about Borel sets the hard way*, Lecture notes in logic, No. 4, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, etc., 1995.
-  A. W. Miller, *Special Subset of the Real Line*, in: Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology, K. Kunen, J. E. Vaughan (eds). Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1984, pp. 201–233.
-  S. M. Srivastava, *A Course on Borel Sets*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
-  A. H. Stone, *Some problems of measurability*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 375, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.

The end

Thank you!